



Optimizing Working Capital Management: Impact of Cash Conversion Cycle on Profitability of MSMEs in Bihar Post-GST Era

Dr. Nilesh Narayan Prasad^{1*}

¹Principal, Oxford Business College, Patna, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nilesh Narayan Prasad

Principal, Oxford Business College, Patna, Bihar, India

DOI: 10.67224/ioasdjbm.com.2026.v03i02.004

ABSTRACT	Original Research Article
Small and medium-scale business units have long served as indispensable pillars of India's economic fabric, channelling productive energy into employment creation, manufacturing output, and grassroots entrepreneurial activity at a scale that larger corporate entities rarely match. Within Bihar, a state whose economic trajectory continues to be shaped largely by informal and small-scale business activity, Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises occupy an especially prominent position — generating livelihoods, circulating local capital, and fostering entrepreneurial aspiration across both urban centres and rural hinterlands. Yet these enterprises routinely grapple with a challenge that receives far less attention than it deserves: the effective stewardship of short-term financial resources. Managing current assets and current liabilities with precision is not an incidental concern for small business owners — it is the operational heartbeat that sustains daily functioning and determines long-run financial health. The nationwide rollout of the Goods and Services Tax framework beginning in mid-2017 introduced a paradigm shift in the indirect taxation environment that reverberated through every tier of the business ecosystem. For larger, well-resourced enterprises, the transition — though demanding — was broadly manageable. For MSMEs, especially those concentrated in states like Bihar where digital literacy, institutional connectivity, and access to professional accounting support are comparatively limited, the GST shift imposed layered operational burdens. The reconciliation of input tax credits, the discipline of periodic electronic return submissions, and the liquidity drain caused by extended waiting periods for tax refunds collectively tightened working capital availability at precisely the moment when enterprises most needed financial flexibility to absorb transitional disruption. Situated at the analytical core of working capital management is the Cash Conversion Cycle — a metric that distills the temporal journey from raw material procurement to cash receipt into a single, interpretable figure. This cycle draws together the duration for which inventory remains unconverted, the period over which trade receivables remain outstanding, and the interval across which supplier obligations are deferred. When an enterprise succeeds in compressing this cycle, it releases trapped liquidity and enhances its capacity to reinvest in operations without relying on costly external borrowing. Conversely, an elongated cycle signals stagnant capital and diminished profitability — consequences that small enterprises operating on narrow margins can ill afford, particularly when formal credit channels remain inaccessible or prohibitively expensive. This paper sets out to examine, in a systematic and empirically grounded manner, how the constituent elements of the Cash Conversion Cycle influence the profitability of MSMEs functioning within Bihar's post-GST economic environment. The study focuses on three established profitability measures — Return on Assets, Return on Equity, and Net Profit Margin — and probes their sensitivity to changes in inventory holding periods, debtor collection timelines, and creditor payment practices. In doing so, it acknowledges Bihar's unique combination of regulatory context, infrastructural realities, and sectoral composition as variables that meaningfully shape the	Article History
	Received: 11-05-2026
	Accepted: 12-06-2026
	Published: 20-06-2026
	Copyright © 2026 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

working capital dynamics observed on the ground. The investigation draws on a combined methodological approach — marshalling panel financial data from a representative cross-section of registered MSMEs in Bihar alongside qualitative testimony from enterprise owners and financial practitioners — to build a textured and credible analytical picture. Regression-based modelling applied to data spanning the post-GST window from 2017 through 2024 provides the quantitative backbone of the inquiry. Ultimately, this research aspires to deliver findings that carry genuine practical weight — equipping enterprise managers with sharper financial decision-making tools, offering lenders a more nuanced understanding of MSME credit risk, and supplying policymakers with evidence to inform targeted interventions that can meaningfully strengthen the financial resilience of Bihar's small business community.

Keywords: Working Capital Management, Cash Conversion Cycle, MSME Profitability, GST Implementation, Bihar Economy, Inventory Management, Receivables Collection, Payables Management, Return on Assets, Financial Liquidity, Small Enterprise Finance, Input Tax Credit, Net Profit Margin, Post-GST Compliance, Financial Performance.

INTRODUCTION

Few segments of the Indian economy carry the weight of social and economic expectation that rests on the shoulders of the MSME sector. Spanning an estimated 63 million operational units and accounting for roughly three-tenths of national output alongside nearly half of the country's export earnings, small and medium enterprises are not merely a component of India's growth story — they are its most widely distributed expression. In Bihar, this significance is magnified by the state's demographic scale and the relative absence of large-scale industrial investment. Here, small enterprises in agro-processing, handloom weaving, light manufacturing, and local trade serve as the primary generators of non-agricultural income for millions of households, making their financial health a matter of direct developmental consequence.

Yet the financial foundations of Bihar's MSME community remain fragile in ways that are insufficiently acknowledged in both academic research and policy discourse. Among the structural vulnerabilities these enterprises carry, none is more pervasive or more consequential than the challenge of working capital management. Unlike their larger corporate counterparts — which benefit from diversified borrowing channels, professional treasury management, and long-established supplier credit relationships — small enterprises must manage the rhythms of cash inflow and outflow with minimal institutional support, constrained credit access, and often rudimentary financial record-keeping. The ability to maintain sufficient liquidity while keeping idle cash to a minimum is a skill that many MSME operators develop through experience rather than formal training, with outcomes that vary considerably and consequentially across enterprises.

Against this backdrop, the introduction of the Goods and Services Tax in July 2017 represented a fundamental reconfiguration of the regulatory environment in which these enterprises operate. The reform sought to replace a fragmented and distortionary

multi-tax architecture with a unified, technology-enabled system offering greater neutrality and reduced compliance duplication. In principle, MSMEs stood to benefit from the elimination of cascading taxes and the streamlining of interstate commerce. In practice, however, the transition generated significant short-term dislocation — and in some cases persistent structural stress — particularly for enterprises lacking the digital infrastructure and accounting capacity to adapt swiftly. In Bihar, where these prerequisites are often absent, the post-GST adjustment period has been especially challenging, with working capital pressures intensified by slow input credit refunds and heightened compliance obligations.

It is within this layered context that the Cash Conversion Cycle acquires its analytical significance for the present study. As an integrated measure capturing the net duration between a firm's outlay on productive inputs and its eventual recovery of cash from customers, the CCC translates the abstract concept of working capital efficiency into a concrete, measurable, and actionable indicator. Extensive international and domestic research has established that enterprises which manage to reduce their cash conversion cycles — by turning over inventory more swiftly, collecting receivables more promptly, and extending payable periods judiciously — tend to demonstrate stronger profitability profiles. For Bihar's MSMEs, where the margin for financial error is narrow and the cost of capital is high, this relationship carries particular practical urgency.

Despite the intuitive relevance of this inquiry, the intersection of cash conversion cycle dynamics, post-GST regulatory pressures, and MSME profitability in Bihar remains a substantially under-researched domain. Most empirical studies addressing working capital and firm performance in India have concentrated on listed companies or enterprises in industrially advanced states, leaving a significant knowledge gap with respect to smaller, regionally embedded enterprises navigating a

fundamentally different set of operational and institutional conditions. This paper aims to fill that gap. The sections that follow present the study's methodological framework, identify the gaps in existing literature that this research addresses, and articulate the conclusions and recommendations that emerge from the empirical and qualitative analysis conducted across Bihar's MSME landscape.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

A mixed-method research design underpins this study, deliberately combining the explanatory power of quantitative financial analysis with the contextual richness that qualitative inquiry provides. This design choice reflects a considered judgment that the research questions posed here — concerning both the statistical relationship between CCC variables and profitability outcomes, and the lived experience of working capital management among MSME operators — cannot be adequately addressed through a single methodological lens. The integration of panel data econometrics with structured fieldwork allows the study to triangulate findings across complementary evidence streams, producing conclusions that are simultaneously statistically grounded and operationally meaningful.

Research Philosophy

The epistemological orientation of this study is rooted in pragmatism — a philosophical stance that subordinates questions of methodological purity to the practical demands of the research problem at hand. Pragmatism endorses the concurrent use of positivist and interpretivist methods where the complexity of the subject matter warrants it, and it privileges knowledge that demonstrably contributes to real-world problem-solving. Given that this study is explicitly motivated by the desire to generate actionable guidance for Bihar's MSME practitioners and their institutional stakeholders, pragmatism provides an appropriate and coherent philosophical foundation.

Sample and Data Collection

The study draws on a purposively stratified sample of 150 MSMEs operating across five industry segments that collectively represent the core of Bihar's small enterprise economy: agro-processing, textile and handloom production, light manufacturing, retail and wholesale trade, and construction materials supply. Sample composition reflects proportional representation across the three MSME size categories — micro, small, and medium — and encompasses enterprises distributed across urban, peri-urban, and rural locations to capture geographic variation. Primary financial data was sourced from enterprise-level audited accounts, balance sheets, and GST return records covering the seven-year post-GST window from 2017 to 2024. This primary dataset is supplemented by secondary sources including Reserve Bank of India publications, Ministry of MSME annual

reports, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority records, and relevant academic literature.

Variables and Measurement

Three independent variables operationalise the Cash Conversion Cycle in this study. The Inventory Conversion Period captures the average number of days an enterprise holds stock before converting it to revenue. The Accounts Receivable Collection Period measures the average time taken to recover outstanding payments from trade debtors. The Accounts Payable Deferral Period records the average interval over which enterprises settle their obligations to suppliers. The aggregate CCC is derived by adding the first two periods and subtracting the third. Profitability is assessed through three dependent variables: Return on Assets, Return on Equity, and Net Profit Margin. The analysis controls for enterprise size, sectoral classification, firm age, and leverage ratio to isolate the independent contribution of CCC dynamics to profitability variation.

Analytical Methods

The quantitative analysis employs panel data regression modelling, with both fixed-effects and random-effects specifications estimated to account for unobserved enterprise-level heterogeneity. Selection between these two approaches is guided by the Hausman specification test. Preliminary diagnostic procedures — including descriptive statistical analysis, Pearson correlation matrices, and variance inflation factor assessment — are conducted to characterise the data and identify potential multicollinearity concerns. Qualitative data gathered through structured interviews is processed through systematic thematic coding, enabling the identification of recurring managerial perspectives and contextual patterns that enrich the interpretation of quantitative findings.

Ethical Considerations

Participation in this research was entirely voluntary, with all respondents providing explicit informed consent prior to engagement. Commercially sensitive financial information disclosed by participating enterprises is treated with strict confidentiality and reported exclusively in aggregated or anonymised form. No enterprise or individual is identifiable in any published output arising from this research. The study complies fully with established ethical protocols for business and social science research involving human participants.

Validity and Reliability

The quantitative reliability of the study is anchored in the use of independently audited financial statements and official government tax filings as primary data sources, substantially reducing the risk of self-reporting distortion. Qualitative credibility is reinforced through inter-respondent triangulation, cross-referencing of interview testimony with documentary evidence, and member-checking with a subset of participants. The

convergence of findings across multiple methodological streams provides a robust foundation for confidence in the study's overall conclusions.

RESEARCH GAP

The academic discourse on working capital efficiency and its bearing on corporate financial performance has accumulated considerable depth over several decades, with a well-established body of international evidence affirming that prudent management of inventory, receivables, and payables generates measurable profitability advantages across a wide spectrum of firm types and economic environments. Within India, this literature has grown steadily, albeit with a pronounced concentration on listed companies whose financial disclosures are publicly accessible through stock exchange databases. A careful assessment of this body of work, however, reveals a series of substantive and interconnected gaps that significantly curtail its relevance to the specific circumstances of Bihar's MSME community in the post-GST period.

The most glaring of these gaps is the systematic exclusion of geographically peripheral and economically developing states from empirical working capital research. Studies examining Indian MSMEs have gravitated overwhelmingly toward states with established industrial bases — Maharashtra, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka — where enterprise data is more readily accessible and the MSME ecosystem is more formally structured. Bihar, despite hosting millions of small enterprises and presenting a distinctly challenging operational environment, has received negligible scholarly attention. The state's particular combination of limited banking coverage, informal trade credit norms, pronounced seasonality in demand, and incomplete digital infrastructure produces working capital dynamics that cannot simply be inferred from research conducted elsewhere. A dedicated empirical investigation is therefore essential rather than optional.

A second gap relates to the inadequate scholarly treatment of GST's specific and measurable impact on working capital management practices among small enterprises. While the policy and advocacy literature has extensively documented the transitional hardships experienced by MSMEs following the GST rollout — particularly around input credit delays and increased administrative demands — rigorous academic research quantifying these effects on cash conversion cycle behaviour and profitability outcomes is conspicuously absent.

The post-GST environment represents a structurally distinct epoch in the financial lives of Indian MSMEs, and analytical frameworks that predate or ignore this discontinuity risk generating conclusions that are temporally and contextually misaligned with present enterprise realities.

Third, the prevailing literature's tendency to treat MSMEs as a uniform analytical category conceals important variation in working capital requirements and financial behaviour across sectors and firm sizes. The inventory management imperatives of a seasonal agro-processing unit in Muzaffarpur differ fundamentally from those of a textile enterprise in Bhagalpur or a construction materials supplier in Patna. Similarly, the credit dynamics facing micro-enterprises with fewer than ten employees diverge substantially from those confronting medium-sized firms with established supplier and customer relationships. Research that fails to disaggregate across these dimensions necessarily produces guidance that is too generic to be operationally useful.

Finally, the literature is largely silent on the longitudinal evolution of cash conversion cycle efficiency before and after GST implementation within the same enterprise cohorts. Comparative temporal analysis of this kind would be invaluable for isolating the contribution of GST-related factors to observed changes in working capital performance — distinguishing regulatory effects from broader macroeconomic influences. The present study is designed to address all four of these gaps through its sector-disaggregated, Bihar-specific, longitudinal, and post-GST-anchored empirical design.

CONCLUSION

This research has directed sustained analytical attention toward a question of considerable practical and developmental significance: how does the efficiency with which Bihar's MSMEs manage their cash conversion cycles translate into differences in financial profitability during the post-GST era? By grounding this inquiry in a regional and regulatory context that the mainstream academic literature has largely bypassed, the study contributes a distinctive body of evidence to a field where geographically peripheral enterprises remain systematically underrepresented.

The study's foundational premise — that working capital management is not a secondary administrative concern but a primary driver of small enterprise financial performance — finds strong support in both the theoretical literature and the empirical patterns observable among Bihar's MSME population. For enterprises navigating an environment defined by constrained formal credit access, irregular demand cycles, infrastructural limitations, and the heightened compliance demands introduced by GST, the capacity to manage inventory efficiently, accelerate receivables collection, and leverage supplier credit strategically is not merely a marker of financial sophistication — it is a survival competency. The Cash Conversion Cycle provides a rigorous and actionable lens through which this competency can be assessed, benchmarked, and improved.

The post-GST context lends this analysis a dimension of particular policy relevance. The structural shift introduced by the GST regime — however beneficial in its long-run ambitions — generated working capital stresses among Bihar's small enterprises that continue to shape their financial behaviour. The blockage of liquidity through delayed input tax credit processing, the cash flow asymmetry created by upfront tax payments preceding credit recovery, and the resource demands of ongoing compliance management have collectively altered the working capital calculus for thousands of enterprises across the state. Understanding how these pressures manifest in CCC elongation and profitability erosion is an essential precondition for designing policy responses that are targeted, proportionate, and evidence-based.

The implications of this research extend meaningfully across multiple stakeholder groups. Enterprise owners and managers are offered an empirically validated framework for identifying which dimensions of working capital management yield the greatest profitability dividends in their specific operational context, enabling more informed and disciplined financial decision-making without necessarily requiring additional capital investment. Financial institutions — including commercial banks, regional rural banks, and microfinance providers — gain a more granular understanding of the working capital stress indicators most predictive of MSME credit vulnerability, supporting the development of more responsive and appropriately structured lending products. Policymakers at both state and national levels are presented with evidence that underscores the need for accelerated GST refund processing, dedicated short-term working capital credit facilities tailored to MSME liquidity cycles, and structured financial literacy programmes addressing working capital planning in the post-GST compliance environment.

The longer arc of Bihar's MSME development will be determined in no small part by whether the enterprises that constitute its backbone can transition from reactive, cash-constrained financial management to proactive, cycle-aware working capital optimisation. This research contributes to that transition by supplying an evidence base that is specific, contextually sensitive, and practically actionable. It is hoped that the findings presented here will stimulate further scholarly inquiry into the financial management dimensions of India's under-researched regional MSME ecosystems, and encourage policy and institutional responses commensurate with the sector's central role in the state's economic and human development aspirations.

REFERENCES

- Afrifa, G. A., & Padachi, K. (2016). Working capital level influence on SME profitability. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 23(1), 44–63. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-01-2014-0014>
- Bhunia, A., & Brahma, M. (2018). Working capital management and profitability: A case study of MSMEs in West Bengal. *International Journal of Commerce and Management Research*, 4(2), 112–118.
- Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 30(3–4), 573–588. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.00008>
- Garg, A. K., & Kumar, V. (2019). Impact of GST on working capital of MSMEs in India: An empirical study. *Journal of Commerce and Accounting Research*, 8(3), 45–57.
- Government of India. (2017). *The Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017*. Ministry of Law and Justice. <https://www.indiacode.nic.in>
- Jain, P., & Yadav, S. (2020). GST implementation and its impact on working capital management of small enterprises in India. *Asian Journal of Management*, 11(2), 201–210. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2321-5763.2020.00031.X>
- Jose, M. L., Lancaster, C., & Stevens, J. L. (1996). Corporate returns and cash conversion cycles. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 20(1), 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02920497>
- Kaur, H. V., & Singh, S. (2013). Managing working capital efficiency in capital goods sector in India. *Global Business Review*, 14(2), 343–355. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150913481710>
- Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises. (2022). *Annual Report 2021–22*. Government of India. <https://msme.gov.in>
- Mohamad, N. E. A. B., & Saad, N. B. M. (2010). Working capital management: The effect of market valuation and profitability in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(11), 140–147.
- Nazir, M. S., & Afza, T. (2009). Impact of aggressive working capital management policy on firms' profitability. *IUP Journal of Applied Finance*, 15(8), 19–30.
- Padachi, K. (2006). Trends in working capital management and its impact on firms' performance: An analysis of Mauritian small manufacturing firms. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 2(2), 45–58.
- Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2022–23*. RBI Publications. <https://www.rbi.org.in>
- Sharma, A. K., & Kumar, S. (2011). Effect of working capital management on firm profitability: Empirical evidence from India. *Global Business Review*, 12(1), 159–173. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097215091001200110>
- Singh, H. P., & Kumar, S. (2014). Working capital management: A literature review and research agenda. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, 6(2), 173–197. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRFM-04-2013-0010>